

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,559	09/22/2003		Hubert Kurzinger	12742.0005USI1	9973
23552	7590	12/29/2004		EXAMINER	
MERCHA]	NT & GO	ULD PC	SAYALA, CHHAYA D		
P.O. BOX 2	903				
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1761	

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/667,559	KURZINGER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	C. SAYALA	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	Iress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this cor O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E			merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-22 is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the on Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction			D 4 404(d)			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No. <u>10/004,506</u> d in this National S				
Attachment(s)						
1) M Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-	-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/667,559

Art Unit: 1761

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-10, drawn to fish feed and a method of using the feed, classified in class 426, subclass 641.
- II. Claims 11-22, drawn to a process of making the feed, classified in class426, subclass 516.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can b emade by using a liquid form of the composition and drying the fluid material on a double drum drier and finely granulating the sheet-like material obtained to the desired sizes. See US Patent 3438781, col. 5, lines 40-64.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Mr Daignault on 12/17/04 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-10. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,559

Art Unit: 1761

Claims 11-22 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over GB 768189 in view of EP 0337573, Kim (US Patent 5773051), Bunch (US Patent 5618574) and Baensch (US Patent 3796812).

The GB patent teaches a flaked feed with a moisture content of 12-45% (page 2, col. 2, line 86), temperature sensitive materials at page 2, col. 1, line 15; col. 2, lines 124-126. The thickness is taught as 0.5-1.5 mm. The patent suggests the presence of sugars at page 2, line 52. The patent does not teach that the thickness is 10-350  $\mu$ m, the size, yeast or shapes.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,559

Art Unit: 1761

EP' 573 also teaches flakes that contain sugars and are of a thickness of 0.2 -3 mm, and a sieve analysis of 0.10 – 0.75 mm, which gives the size of the flakes. See page 2, lines 29, 30 and page 3, lines 10 -15.

Kim teaches fish feed which contains glucose among other conventional ingredients for a fish feed, which floats after sinking. The feed is flake-type (col. 2, line 34) has a water content of 15-25%, the diameter of the flake is 1-10 mm, 0.5 mm in thickness. Note the temperature-sensitive ingredients at Table I.

Baensch teaches a fish-feed composition that includes yeast as one of its ingredients. Note that the feed is in the form of a sheet of "thin-walled thickness".

Bunch teaches that the ingredients in a number of commercial fish feeds, which include flaked feeds (col. 1, line 26). See col. 3 that teaches conventional ingredients, which includes yeast and bacteria. The patent also teaches growth promoting ingredients.

It would have been obvious to incorporate these ingredients in the primary reference composition, as they have been used in prior art compositions for their art recognized properties or as conventional ingredients in fish feed, as disclosed by these secondary references. The size and thickness of the flake in the instant claims fall within the limits taught by the prior art, as noted above and there appears to be no patentable distinction, therefore. The shape of the flaked feed is not taught by the prior art but this feature would be considered as a matter of personal choice of the artisan since fish by themselves have no preference to shape and neither can they distinguish such features.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,559 Page 5

Art Unit: 1761

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. SAYALA whose telephone number is 571-272-1405.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Group 1700.